

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

February 27, 1997

Hon. Spencer T. Nissen Office of Administrative Law Judges Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

> RE: CATALINA YACHTS, INC. Docket No. EPCRA-09-94-0015

Dear Judge Nissen:

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the "Consolidated Rules" (40 CFR \$22.25, \$22.26), I hereby provide the completed transcript in the above named case. A copy of the transcript is being mailed this day to your office. In addition, one copy is being hand delivered to Counsel for EPA.

Pursuant to the Consolidated Rules, Respondent may purchase copies of the transcript from the court reporter [Hill Reporting Service; 353 Sacramento Street, Suite 600; San Francisco, CA 94111; Phone: (415)-989-4363].

MOTE: Please be aware that a correction was made to page 133 in the transcript. The corrected page along with a copy of the court reporter's cover letter are attached.

Sincerely,

Steven Armsey

Regional Hearing Cler

cc>D.Jones E.Nottoli HILL REPORTING SERVICE 353 Secremento Street, Suite 600 Sen Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 989-4363 1-800-492-2002

Brench Office: P.O. Box 9831 Truckee, CA 96162 (12990 Muhlebech Way) (916) 587-4267

R. Gary Hill, CSR, RMA CSR #1152 Kay C. Hill, CSR, RMR CSR #2368

FILED

TO: David Jones, Esq. James Meeder, Esq. FEB 24 1997

FROM: Kay C. Hill, CSR

NUMBER OF THE PROPERTY AND ASSETS ASSESSED ASSES

DATED: February 21, 1997.....

RE: Catalina Yachts Bearing of 1/28/97

After speaking with Mr. Steve Armsey of the EPA, I am enclosing a corrected page to be attached to your transcript of 1/28/97 in the above referenced matter.

Hay 6. Kill

Mr. Armsey pointed out that Exhibit 6 was admitted into evidence in the transcript before the judge had made his final ruling on its admission.

cc: S. Armsey

1 in evidence.] 2 THE COURT: And, of course, we still have 3 pending Mr. Meeder's motions to admit R-6. And I assume, Mr. Jones, that you are still 5 maintaining your objection? 6 MR. JONES: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Well, your objection is that it 8 was irrelevant, and that specific objection I am 9 overruling on the grounds that it is relevant to 10 penalty mitigation. 11 MR. MEEDER: Your Honor, I would like also, 12 for convenience of the Court, to move into admission 13 our seven and eight, which has been marked for 14 identification. THE COURT: Yes, those two documents are 15 16 judicially noticeable. 17. [Respondent's Exhibits 7 and 8 were received 18 in evidence.] 19 THE COURT: What I will do, I will admit the 20 letter, the letter signed by Mr. Pepiak to Mr. Douglas, 21 but the declaration I think I am going to exclude 22 because Mr. Pepiak isn't available for

[Respondent's Exhibit No. 6 was received in evidence.]

23

24

25

cross-examination.

HILL REPORTING SERVICE 353 Secremento Street, Suite 600 Sen Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 989-4363 1-800-492-2002

Branch Office: P.O. Box 9831 Truckee, CA 96162 (12990 Muhlebach Wey) (916) 587-4267

Tire.

R. Gery Hill, CSR, RMA CSR #1152 Key C. Hill, CSR, RMA CSR #2368

FILED

FEB 24 1997

TO: David Jones, Esq. James Meeder, Esq.

FROM: Kay C. Hill, CSR

REGION (X NEARING OLERA NEARING OLERA

DATED: February 21, 1997

26 FRE: Catalina Yachts Hearing of 1/28/97

After speaking with Mr. Steve Armsey of the EPA, I am enclosing a corrected page to be attached to your transcript of 1/28/97 in the above referenced matter.

Kay 6. Kill

Mr. Armsey pointed out that Exhibit 6 was admitted into evidence in the transcript before the judge had made his final ruling on its admission.

cc: S. Armsey